

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/723,532	11/26/2003	Fabian Montero	MONT-00600	8661
28960	7590 07/21/2005		EXAMINER	
HAVERSTOCK & OWENS LLP 162 NORTH WOLFE ROAD			LINDSEY, R	ODNEY M
SUNNYVALE, CA 94086			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	-,		3765	

DATE MAILED: 07/21/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			_)/-			
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Astrono Occupant	10/723,532	MONTERO, FABIAN				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Rodney M. Lindsey	3765				
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a replication of the period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period.  Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from e, cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	<u>_</u> .					
2a) This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☑ Thi	s action is non-final.					
•	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims		•				
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-15 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-15 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	awn from consideration.					
Application Papers						
9)⊠ The specification is objected to by the Examin						
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>26 November 2003</u> is/s			٠,			
Applicant may not request that any objection to the						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	•					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureat * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	nts have been received.  Its have been received in Applicationity documents have been received in Application (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National Stage				
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary					
<ul> <li>2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)</li> <li>3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 Paper No(s)/Mail Date 12/17/03.</li> </ul>	Paper No(s)/Mail Di 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	ate Patent Application (PTO-152)				

;

Application/Control Number: 10/723,532

Art Unit: 3765

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Specification

1. The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities: on page 5, line 20 "510" it appears should be --560-- and on page 6, line 4 "610" it appears should be --510--.

Appropriate correction is required.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

2. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

3. Claims 1-15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the enablement requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to enable one skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and/or use the invention. The structural details of the adjusting means that permit the windshield position to be adjusted are not understood. The structural details of the manual override switch are not understood. The structural details of the position detection circuit are not understood.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

4. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Application/Control Number: 10/723,532 Page 3

Art Unit: 3765

5. Claims 1-12, 14 and 15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Canadian patent to Fisk. With respect to claims 1, 7 and 14 note the provided helmet 12, windshield 14 and operating mechanisms as at 16, 18 equivalent to the means for automatically adjusting a position of the windshield as claimed. Inherently the operating mechanism of Fisk would function relative to the speed of a vehicle as it is capable of responding to a transmitted signal. With respect to claims 2, 3, 8 and 9 the specifics of the predetermined threshold value do not set forth any structure of the adjusting means not taught by the adjusting means of Fisk. With respect to claim 4 note the control circuit at 74 for receiving and performing a Boolean operation with regards signals from a transmitter 116. With respect to claim 5 note power supply 122. With respect to claim 6 note the manual override switch 96. With respect to claims 10 and 15 note the receiver and filter circuit as at 74 receiving signals from a device on a motorcycle and performing a Boolean operation on the signals, and the control circuit as at motor 96. With respect to claim 11 the source of the signals is not seen to set forth any structure of the control system not found in the control system of Fisk. With respect to claim 12 note the manual override switch "switch 96".

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 6. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 7. Claim 13 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Canadian patent to Fisk in view of Acquaviva. Fisk does not teach the position detection circuit and encoder.

Acquaviva teaches a position detection circuit 70 and encoder 71 for sending a detection signal to a control circuit for motor 21M (see column 7, lines 12-32). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to provide the system of Fisk with the position detection circuit 70 and encoder 71 of Acquaviva to achieve the advantage of establishing a threshold value for operation of the motor.

#### Conclusion

8. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Note particularly, the controlled visors of Pei, Fantin and French patent to Degoin.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Rodney M. Lindsey whose telephone number is (571) 272-4989. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F (8:30-5:00).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, John J. Calvert can be reached on (571) 272-4983. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Page 5

Rodney M. Lindsey Primary Examiner Art Unit 3765

rml